

NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®)

Kidney Cancer

Asia Consensus Statements Version 3 [2016]

-Based upon NCCN Guidelines Kidney Cancer Version 3.2015-







Panel Members

Seiichiro Ozono, MD, PhD [Co-chair] Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu, Japan

Rainy Umbas, MD, PhD [Co-chair] University of Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

Hideyuki Akaza, MD, PhD University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Jason Chia-Hsien Cheng, MD, PhD National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

Byung Ha Chung, MD, PhD Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

Narmada Gupta, MBBS, MS, M.Ch., FAMS, D.Sc

Medanta Institute of Kidney & Urology, Haryana, India

Shiro Hinotsu, MD, PhD Okayama University, Okayama, Japan

Shigeo Horie, MD, PhD Juntendo University, Tokyo, Japan

Choung Soo Kim, MD, PhD Asan Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea

Cheol Kwak, MD, PhD Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

Philip Wai-kay Kwong, MBBS, FRCR

Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong, China

Ji Youl Lee, MD, PhD Seoul St. Mary's Hospital of the Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, South Korea

Bannakij Lojanapiwat, MD Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

Mikio Namiki, MD, PhD Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

Masatoshi Eto, MD, PhD Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

Yen-Chuan Ou, MD, PhD Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

Dennis Serrano, MD, MHA University of the Philippines College of Medicine, Manila, the Philippines

Hong Gee Sim, MBBS, MRCSEd, MMED, FAMS

Gleneagles Medical Centre, Singapore

Jae Mann Song, MD, PhD Wonju College of Medicine Yonsei University, Wonju, South Korea

Ding-Wei Ye, MD, PhD Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, China

Zulkifli Zainuddin, MD, PhD University Kebangsaan Malaysia Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Gang Zhu, MD, PhD Cancer Hospital of Chinese Academy of Medical Science and Peking Union Medical College, National Cancer Center, Beijing, China

Special Thanks to:

NCCN Guidelines Panel for Kidney Cancer: Eric Jonasch, MD [Vice-chair]

The University of Texas / MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States

Acknowledgement

Medical writing services provided by **Reno Medical K.K. (M3 group)**

Conflict of Interest (COI)

All panel members have disclosed their COI associated with the Asia Consensus Statements of NCCN Guidelines (NCCN ACS). For more information, please contact the NCCN ACS secretariat.

Reno Medical K.K. E-mail: nacs-admin@reno.co.jp

©2016 National Comprehension of NCCN*. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN*. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other





Table of Contents

- Preamble
- Kidney Cancer Overview The Asian Landscape and Asia Consensus Statements
- Asia Consensus Statements (ACS)
 - ACS #1 : TNM Staging System for Kidney Cancer
 - ACS #2 : Partial Nephrectomy
 - ACS #3 : Active Surveillance
 - ACS #4 : Ablative Techniques for Non-surgical Candidates
 - ACS #5 : Cytoreductive Nephrectomy
 - ACS #6a : Availability and Affordability of Kidney Cancer Drugs in Asia
 - ACS #6b : Availability and Prevalence of Cytokines in Asia
 - ACS #7 : Systemic Therapy for Non-clear Cell Histology
 - ACS #8 : Duration of Follow-up

nedlive cn

ACS #9 : Imaging Modality for Follow-up

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of guidelines, visit w 2 guide.medlive.cn



Table of Contents

medlive.cn

Appendices

- A) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries; Overall
- B) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries; Male
- C) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries; Female
- D) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer, Top 20 in the World; Overall
- E) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer, Top 20 in the World; Male
- F) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer, Top 20 in the World; Female
- G) Life Expectancy and Incidence/Mortality Rate of Patients with Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries
- H) Criteria for Nephron-sparing Surgery in the Panel Members' Countries
- I) Active Surveillance (AS) in the Panel Members' Countries
- J) Major Drugs for the Treatment of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries
- K) Post Marketing All-case Surveillance in the Panel Members' Countries
- L) Post Marketing All-case Surveillance in South Korea
- M) Availability and Prevalence of IL-2 for the Treatment of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries
- N) Imaging Modalities Used for Initial Workup and Follow-up in the Panel Members' Countries
- O) Health Insurance System in the Panel Members' Countries
- P) Clinical Practice Guidelines in the Panel Members' Countries
- Q) Japanese Clinical Practice Guideline for Renal Cell Carcinoma



Preamble

Authorization

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®) supports and authorizes selected disease-specific expert oncology groups to develop the Asia Consensus Statements (ACS) which reflect regional differences in care, based upon the recommendations of the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) and subject to approval by NCCN and representatives of NCCN's panels.

Objectives

These statements are designed to provide clear documentation of modifications from the "parent" NCCN Guidelines, outlining those relating to genetic variation in the metabolism of agents or differences in the regulatory environments in participating Asian countries. The main objective of this initiative is the widespread provision and implementation of clinical resources that describe optimal, evidence-based treatment recommendations with the ultimate goal of improving the lives of patients with cancer in Asia.

Genesis and Development Process

This collaborative project was initiated by NCCN and Reno Medical K.K. (M3 group). The formation of the disease-specific panel of Asian experts is the first step for the development of the ACS for the specific tumor type. The chair and members of the NCCN panel are then nominated to discuss, develop, and approve manuscripts. Each disease-specific consensus discussion includes assessing the pertinent sections of the latest NCCN Guidelines for potential adaptation. The agreed-upon modifications to the recommendations in the NCCN Guidelines are documented, categorized, and supported with evidence wherever possible, and are validated and approved by NCCN.

Background of Panel Members

medlive cn

Each Panel comprises multidisciplinary specialists from different Asian countries who are involved in the patient care and management of the specific disease.



Consensus

Categorization of the final consensus reached by the panel is based on the NCCN categories of evidence:

Category	Level of evidence*	Level of consensus
1	High	Uniform
2A	Lower	Uniform
2B	Lower	Non-uniform
3	Any	Major disagreement

*High-level evidence includes randomized, controlled clinical trials and meta-analyses. Typically, high-level evidence is published in peer-reviewed journals. Lower-level evidence includes phase II studies, retrospective studies, and clinical experience of experts. Lower-level evidence may also include preliminary results of potential high-level evidence (presented at major meetings but before peer-reviewed publications).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

Disclaimer & Copyright

The statements contained herein reflect the consensus of the authors regarding their views on currently accepted therapeutic approaches. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult these recommendations is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient's care or treatment. NCCN makes no representation nor warranty of any kind whatsoever regarding contents, use, or application of the ACS and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way. The statements are copyrighted by NCCN. All rights reserved. The statements and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN. ©2016.



Application of this Document

The statements contained herein are with reference to NCCN Guidelines: Kidney Cancer (Version 3.2015). As such, for contextual comprehension of the statements, refer to the version of NCCN Guidelines: Kidney Cancer noted above. To view the most recent and complete versions of all NCCN Guidelines, visit www.nccn.org. NCCN Guidelines may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN. All rights reserved.

Limitations

In this preliminary component of a novel, ongoing exercise, the statements have been compiled by experts upon review of NCCN Guidelines: Kidney Cancer (Version 3.2015). As NCCN is committed to maintaining up-to-date NCCN Guidelines, NCCN and the Asian panel members are likewise committed to the provision of comprehensive ACS which will be updated from time to time. All persons who use NCCN Guidelines and the statements should note that the recommendations are applicable to 80-85% of patients, and if less than 5% of patients fall into a particular situation, there may not be any recommendations in the guidelines nor the statements for these patients. In this case and at all times, clinicians are advised to use their own clinical judgment to determine the best way to manage each patient.

Comments from Panel Members

medlive cn

It is general consideration that no treatment guidelines will fit 100% of patients for various reasons. For Asian patients in economically underdeveloped countries and lower-health-system established countries, they are unavailable for the majority of patients and the situation varies among countries. This should be discussed in the future for the ACS.

NCCN Guidelines have reached an ideal level of care, and now is on the step toward being a global standard. As described above, there is no clinical practice guideline covering whole world without any complementation or regional adaptation. We hope that the ACS works as a bridge between NCCN Guidelines and Asian clinical practice, and helps people who aspire for a treatment framework of cancer.



Kidney Cancer Overview

medlive cn

— The Asian Landscape and Asia Consensus Statements

Approximately 208,500 new cases of kidney cancer are diagnosed in the world each year, accounting for just under 2% of all cancers.¹ Of the different types of kidney cancer, renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is the predominant form, accounting for about 85% of cases, while renal pelvis cancer accounts for most of the remainder.² A number of studies have also found that Asians have a significantly lower incidence rate of RCC and higher survival rate than other races/ethnicities, while Blacks have a significantly higher incidence rate and lower survival rate than all other races/ethnicities, despite having more localized cancer.^{3,4}

RCC is a male-dominant disease. In Asia, as in other parts of the world, the incidence rate of RCC is approximately twice as high in males than in females.⁵ Based on GLOBOCAN 2012, age-standardized rates (ASRs) of incidence (overall data) for kidney cancer in Asian countries varied between 8.0 (in South Korea) and 0.9 (in India). As for male, those were between 11.7 (in South Korea) and 1.3 (in India), and those for female were between 4.7 (in South Korea) and 0.6 (in India). Whereas, those in the United States were 11.7 for overall, 15.9 for male, and 8.5 for female, respectively in 2012.⁵ There is a marked difference in the incidence rate of kidney cancer between the United States and Asian countries.

Asian countries have many different healthcare insurance systems, as well as economic situations. There may be different medical services available within the same nation due to factors such as economic circumstances. This means that for the Asian region, where the countries have diverse ethnic groups, economies, cultures, social systems, and healthcare environments, it is difficult to establish unified therapeutic evidence and standardized clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of kidney cancer. This diversity reflects the real world, however, and we believe that Asia can greatly contribute to the world's advancement in kidney cancer treatment by preparing practice guidelines helpful in this part of the world.

The ACS: Kidney Cancer is an attempt by physicians from Asian countries to fuse the data and experience which have been accumulated in Asia with the western evidence, ie, the NCCN Guidelines. The ACS will make the NCCN Guidelines effective even in the diverse Asian healthcare environments. The ACS is not only a collection of statements for better treatment of kidney cancer limited to Asia; it is also the embodiment of Asian commitment to the improvement of kidney cancer treatment worldwide.

The revising of the ACS: Kidney Cancer Version 2.2011 to Version 3 [2016] is under the auspices of the following academic organizations: Asia Pacific Society of Uro-oncology (TBD), The Korean Urological Oncology Society, and Japan Society of Clinical Oncology.



References

- 1. Lindblad P and Adami HO. (2002). Kidney Cancer. In Textbook of Cancer Epidemiology (pp.467-485). New York: Oxford University Press.
- 2. SEER Program Public Use Data Tapes 1973-2002, November 2004 Submission. Bethesda. National Cancer Institute, Division of Cancer Control and Population Science, Surveillance Research Program, Cancer Statistics Branch, 2005.
- 3. Stafford HS, Saltzstein SL, Shimasaki S, et al. Racial/Ethnic and gender disparities in renal cell carcinoma incidence and survival. J Urol 2008;179:1704-1708.
- 4. Naito S, Yamamoto N, Takayama T, et al. Prognosis of Japanese Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Patients in the Cytokine Era: A Cooperative Group Report of 1463 Patients. Eur Urol 2009;doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2008.12.026.
- 5. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Elser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: http:// globocan.iarc.fr, accessed on 1/7/2015.



nedlive cr

Asia Consensus Statements (ACS)

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit wv 9 guide.medlive.cn

National Comprehensive

Cancer Network[®]

Table 1

NCCN

American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM Staging System for Kidney Cancer (7th ed., 2010)

Primary Tumor (T)

ACS #1

- TX Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- T0 No evidence of primary tumor
- T1 Tumor 7 cm or less in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- T1a Tumor 4 cm or less in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- T1b Tumor more than 4 cm but not more than 7 cm in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- T2 Tumor more than 7 cm in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- T2a Tumor more than 7 cm but less than or equal to 10 cm in greatest dimension, limited to the kidney
- T2b Tumor more than 10 cm, limited to the kidney
- T3 Tumor extends into major veins or perinephric tissues but not into the ipsilateral adrenal gland and not beyond Gerota's fascia
- T3a Tumor grossly extends into the renal vein or its segmental (muscle containing) branches, or tumor invades perirenal and/or renal sinus fat but not beyond Gerota's fascia
- T3b Tumor grossly extends into the vena cava below the diaphragm
- T3c Tumor grossly extends into the vena cava above the diaphragm or invades the wall of the vena cava
- T4 Tumor invades beyond Gerota's fascia (including contiguous extension into the ipsilateral adrenal gland)

Regional Lymph Nodes (N)

- NX Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
- N0 No regional lymph node metastasis
- N1 Metastasis in regional lymph node(s)

Distant Metastasis (M)

- M0 No distant metastasis
- M1 Distant metastasis

Anatomic Stage/Prognostic Groups

Stage I	T1	NO	MO
Stage II	T2	NO	M0
Stage III	T1 or T2	N1	M0
	T3	N0 or N1	M0
Stage IV	T4	Any N	M0
	Any T	Any N	M1

Used with the permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original and primary source for this information is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010), published by Springer Science+Business Media, LLC (SBM). (For complete information and data supporting the staging tables, visit <u>www.springer.com</u>.) Any citation or quotation of this material must be credited to the AJCC as its primary source. The inclusion of this information herein does not authorize any reuse or further distribution without the expressed, written permission of Springer SBM, on behalf of the AJCC.

10

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.





ACS #1: TNM Staging System for Kidney Cancer

TNM staging system conforms to NCCN Guidelines version 3.2015.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page ST-1]

Discussion:

The ACS #5 on NCCN Asia Consensus Statements version 2.2011 has been revised. The staging now conforms to NCCN Guidelines version 3.2015.

National Comprehensive

Cancer

Network[®]

NCCN



^aBiopsy of small lesions may be considered to obtain or confirm a diagnosis of malignancy and guide surveillance, cryosurgery, and radiofrequency ablation strategies.

^bSee Principles of Surgery (KID-A).

^cNo single follow-up plan is appropriate for all patients. Follow-up should be individualized based on patient requirements.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



PRINCIPLES OF SURGERY

NCCN Guidelines Version 3.2015

• Nephron-sparing surgery (partial nephrectomy) is appropriate in selected patients, for example:

Kidney Cancer

- Small unilateral tumors (Patients with T1a and selected T1b and T2a tumors)
- Uninephric state, renal insufficiency, bilateral renal masses, and familial renal cell cancer
- Open, laparoscopic, or robotic surgical techniques may be used to perform radical and partial nephrectomies.
- Regional lymph node dissection is optional but is recommended for patients with adenopathy on preoperative imaging or palpable/visible adenopathy at time of surgery.
- If adrenal gland is uninvolved, resection may be omitted.

National

Cancer

Network[®]

NCCN

Comprehensive

- Special teams may be required for extensive inferior vena cava involvement.
- Observation or ablative techniques (eq, cryosurgery, radiofrequency ablation):
- Can be considered for patients with clinical stage T1 renal lesions who are not surgical candidates.
- Biopsy of small lesions may be considered to obtain or confirm a diagnosis of malignancy and guide surveillance, cryosurgery, and radiofrequency ablation strategies.
- Randomized phase III comparison with surgical resection (ie, radical or partial nephrectomy by open or laparoscopic techniques) has not been done.
- Ablative techniques are associated with a higher local recurrence rate than conventional surgery.^{a,b}
- Generally, patients who would be candidates for cytoreductive nephrectomy prior to systemic therapy have:
- ▶ Excellent performance status (ECOG PS < 2)
- No brain metastasis
- ^a Campbell SC, Novick AC, Belldegrun A, et al. Practice Guidelines Committee of the American Urological Association. Guideline for management of the clinical T1 renal mass. J Urol 2009;182:1271-1279.
- ^b Kunkle DA, Uzzo RG. Cryoablation or radiofrequency ablation of the small renal mass: A meta-analysis. Cancer 2008;113:2671-2680.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.





Discussion





ACS #2: Partial Nephrectomy

Partial nephrectomy is recommended for technically feasible Stage I tumors.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-1 and KID-A]

Discussion:

Partial nephrectomy is recommended for both T1a and T1b in China, India, Japan, and Taiwan.¹ The criteria for nephron-sparing surgery in Asian countries are summarized (see appendix H).

Taiwan: Sixty percent of cases of partial nephrectomy are through robotic surgery.

Thailand: Only T1a tumors (a tumor size of less than 4 cm) is eligible for partial nephrectomy. Partial nephrectomy can be an option in selected cases of T1b (4-7 cm).

References

nedlive cr

1. Senga Y, Ozono S, Nakazawa H, et al. Surgical outcomes of partial nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma: A joint study by the Japanese Society of Renal Cancer. Int J Urol 2007;14(4):284-288.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of guidelines, visit w 14 quide.medlive.cn



ACS #3: Active Surveillance

Active surveillance can be an option in Asia. Only a limited number of patients receive active surveillance.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-1]

nedlive cr

Discussion:

Active surveillance can be an option for selected cases (eq, elderly patients or patients with comorbidities). In elderly patients, biopsy preceding active surveillance can be omitted for tumors with typical findings for RCC, and it can be performed for atypical tumors or tumors whose diagnosis is difficult with imaging. Further evidence will be needed to define tumor parameters, characteristics, and the follow-up schedule for active surveillance in Asia. The status of active surveillance and biopsy preceding active surveillance are summarized (see appendix I).

South Korea: The survival outcome and pathologic characteristics of RCC in Korean men are similar to those in men in the US SEER database. Active surveillance can therefore be an advisable option for Korean patients (Source: Clinical guidelines on Renal Cell Carcinoma 2012, Korean Urological Oncology Society).



ACS #4: Ablative Techniques for Non-surgical Candidates

Cryotherapy can be an option. Further clinical evidence on cryotherapy is required in Asian countries.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-1]

Discussion:

Although the low invasiveness of cryotherapy as compared with nephrectomy can make it common in the future, the currently available national data from Asian countries is insufficient. More data on the clinical outcome of cryotherapy in Asia is needed.

China: Several centers have used cryotherapy to treat small renal tumors with good results.

Japan: Patients are now reimbursed by health insurance for cryotherapy for small renal tumors. In some institutes, the rate of cryotherapy is clearly increasing.

South Korea: There is not enough national data regarding cryotherapy.

Philippines: Cryotherapy is available only at one center, and RFA is about to be introduced (patients generally receive partial nephrectomy).

Singapore: Cryotherapy is offered at two institutions for small renal masses, and RFA at four.

Taiwan: There is not enough national data regarding cryotherapy.

Thailand: Cryotherapy is available only at one center.





17

^b <u>See Principles of Surgery (KID-A).</u>

^c No single follow-up plan is appropriate for all patients. Follow-up should be individualized based on patient requirements.

^d Individualize treatment based on symptoms and extent of metastatic disease.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.





ACS #5: Cytoreductive Nephrectomy

Cytoreductive nephrectomy preceding systemic therapy is recommended in selected patients with good performance status and resectable tumor.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-2]

Discussion:

According to the NCCN Guidelines (Version 3.2015; MS-9), lung-only metastasis, good prognostic features, and good performance status are factors for patient selection for cytoreductive nephrectomy.¹ In Asia, defining strict criteria for patient selection remains controversial, however, good performance status and resectability of tumor could be positive parameters for the selection. Although percentage of resectable volume of tumor is a likely parameter, further evidence is required for it.

Philippines: Cytoreductive nephrectomy is common despite limited survival.

Taiwan: Patients with good performance status receive cytoreductive nephrectomy.

Thailand: Nephrectomy usually precedes systemic therapy, and neoadjuvant targeted therapy is occasionally performed in unresectable cases.

References

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN*. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other



^{1.} Culp SH, Tannir NM, Abel EJ, et al. Can we better select patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma for cytoreductive nephrectomy? Cancer 2010;116(14):3378-3388.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network[®]

NCCN



^f Poor-prognosis patients, defined as those with ≥3 predictors of short survival. See Predictors of Short Survival Used to Select Patients for Temsirolimus (KID-C).

^g Patients with excellent performance status and normal organ function.

^h Best supportive care can include palliative RT, metastasectomy, bisphosphonates, or RANK ligand inhibitors for bony metastases.

ⁱ Chemotherapy (category 3) in clear cell and non-clear cell RCC with predominant sarcomatoid features has shown modest response to gemcitabine + doxorubicin or gemcitabine + capecitabine.

^j Currently available tyrosine kinase inhibitors include: axitinib, pazopanib, sorafenib, or sunitinib.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



See NCCN Guidelines for Palliative Care



ACS #6a: Availability and Affordability of Kidney Cancer Drugs in Asia

The availability and affordability of kidney cancer drugs are different among Asian countries.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-3]

Discussion:

The availability of kidney cancer drugs in Asian countries is summarized (see appendix J). In some countries, post-marketing surveillance of kidney cancer drugs has been performed, and the results of surveillance have started to be published (see appendix K and L).^{1,2,3,4,5,6}

China: Sorafenib, sunitinib and everolimus has been approved.^{7,8}

Japan: Temsirolimus has been approved as first-line and everolimus as second-line, but it is common to use everolimus or temsirolimus as second-line for relapses after TKI treatment. Comparison of second-line temsirolimus and sorafenib after the failure of sunitinib has demonstrated that sorafenib is better than temsirolimus in terms of survival.

S-1 has been widely available in Asian countries as a stomach cancer drug. Result of phase II trial of S-1 in Japanese patients with cytokine-refractory mRCC has shown that S-1 is active against mRCC, and thus S-1 is one of candidate drugs for further phase III trial in RCC patients.^{9,10}

Singapore: Patients treated with sunitinib had fair amount of side effects at the recommended dose of 50 mg,¹¹ and thus attenuated dose of 37.5 mg is preferred.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN*. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other





South Korea: Bevacizumab + IFN-a can be used for advanced/metastatic mRCC, although patients are not reimbursed for it. The NHI reimbursement policy allows patients with clear cell pathology to be reimbursed for second-line systemic therapy with everolimus after failed first-line VEGFr-TKI (Health Insurance Review & Assessment Service Recommendation on Chemotherapy, 2015).

Taiwan: The governmental insurance covers all kidney cancer drugs available in the country. The drug use is as per the NCCN Guidelines. Continuance of the drug is decided after evaluating the patient's response at 3 months. Good response or stable disease: the drug will be continued; poor response: progressive disease, shift to second-line. For poor risk patients, temsirolimus is the first priority.

Thailand: Sorafenib, sunitinib and everolimus have been approved.



References

medlive cn

- 1. Akaza H, Naito S, Ueno N, et al. Real-world use of sunitinib in Japanese patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma: efficacy, safety and biomarker analyses in 1689 consecutive patients. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2015;45(6):576-583.
- 2. Akaza H, Oya M, Iijima M, et al. A large-scale prospective registration study of the safety and efficacy of sorafenib tosylate in unresectable or metastatic renal cell carcinoma in Japan: results of over 3200 consecutive cases in post-marketing all-patient surveillance. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2015;doi10.1093/ jjco/hyv099.
- 3. Lee JL, Park I, Park K, et al. Efficacy and safety of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma and poor risk features. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2012;138(4):687-693.
- 4. Park K, Lee JL, Ahn JH, et al. Efficacy and safety of everolimus in korean patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma following treatment failure with a vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Cancer Res Treat 2014;46(4):339-347.
- 5. Oh WK, McDermott D, Porta C, et al. Angiogenesis inhibitor therapies for advanced renal cell carcinoma: Toxicity and treatment patterns in clinical practice from a global medical chart review. Int J Oncol 2014;44(1):5-16.
- 6. Li JR, Yang CR, Cheng CL, et al. Efficacy of a protocol including heparin ointment for treatment of multikinase inhibitor-induced hand-foot skin reactions. Support Care Cancer 2013;21(3):907-911.
- 7. Zhang H, Dong B, Lu JJ, et al. Efficacy of sorafenib on metastatic renal cell carcinoma in Asian patients: Results from a multicenter study. BMC Cancer 2009;9:249-257.
- 8. Wang HK, Zhang HL, Zhu Y, et al. A phase II trial of dosage escalation of sorafenib in Asian patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Future Oncol 2014;10(12):1941-1951.
- 9. Naito S, Eto M, Shinohara N, et al. Multicenter phase II trial of S-1 in patients with cytokine-refractory-metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2010;28(34):5022-5029.
- 10. Naito S, Sakai H, Hashine K, et al. Phase I / II study of S-1 in combination with sorafenib for metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Ann Oncol 2015;26(9):1871-1876.
- 11. Tan HS, Li H, Hong YW, et al. Efficacy and safety of an attenuated-dose sunitinib regimen in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: Results from a prospective registry in Singapore. Clin Genitourin Cancer 2015;13(4):e285-295.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit wy guide.medlive.cn



ACS #6b: Availability and Prevalence of Cytokines in Asia

The prevalence of cytokine use varies among Asian countries.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-3]

nedlive cn

Discussion:

The treatment with interleukin (IL)-2 in Asia is at low doses in comparison to that in Europe and the United States. In general, urologists use low-dose IL-2, whereas clinical oncologists use high-dose IL-2. As for interferon (IFN)-a use, IFN-a monotherapy is one of treatment options in some Asian countries (see appendix M).

China: IL-2 is occasionally used in combination with IFN-α, and the CUA RCC Guidelines suggest the use of these drugs for relapses of Stage IV, surgically unresectable RCC.

Indonesia: Only IL-2 is available, however, it is uncommon.

Japan: Treatment with IFN- α and/or IL-2 has been performed with favorable results,^{1,2} especially in patients with lung metastases,³ and IL-2 is recommended for use as monotherapy or in combination with IFN- α for advanced RCC.^{4,5} However, the use of cytokine therapy is now decreasing after the introduction of molecular targeted therapy.

Philippines: In the Philippines, IFN-α is widely available and is preferred over IL-2 as cytokine treatment. However, more and more clinicians are turning to targeted agents as first-line therapy.



South Korea: IL-2 can be used in first-line therapy for stage IV RCC, optionally in combination with IFN-a or IFN- α + fluorouracil, and patients are reimbursed by the NIH for it. After the advent of TKIs, however, the use of IL-2 is uncommon (Health Insurance Review & Assessment Service Recommendation on Chemotherapy, 2015).

Taiwan: IFN- α is commonly used and IL-2 is seldom used for the treatment of RCC.

References

- 1. Miyake H, Hara I, Sakai I, et al. Clinical outcome of combined immunotherapy with low-dose interleukin-2 and interferon-alpha for Japanese patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma who had undergone radical nephrectomy: a preliminary report. Int J Clin Oncol 2005;10(5):338-341.
- 2. Eto M, Kamba T, Miyake H, et al. STAT3 polymorphism can predict the response to interferon-α therapy in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Eur Urol 2013;63(4):745-752.
- 3. Naito S, Yamamoto N, Takayama T, et al. Prognosis of Japanese metastatic renal cell carcinoma patients in the cytokine era: A cooperative group report of 1463 patients. Eur Urol 2010;57(2):317-325.
- 4. Akaza H, Tsukamoto T, Onishi T, et al. A low-dose combination therapy of interleukin-2 and interferon-alpha is effective for lung metastasis of renal cell carcinoma: a multicenter open study. Int J Clin Oncol 2006;11(6):434-440.
- 5. Akaza H, Kawai K, Tsukamoto T, et al. Successful outcomes using combination therapy of interleukin-2 and interferon-alpha for renal cell carcinoma patients with lung metastasis. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2010;40(7):684-689.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of guidelines, visit w 24 guide.medlive.cn nedlive cn





^f Poor-prognosis patients, defined as those with ≥3 predictors of short survival. See Predictors of Short Survival Used to Select Patients for Temsirolimus (KID-C).

^h Best supportive care can include palliative RT, metastasectomy, bisphosphonates, or RANK ligand inhibitors for bony metastases.

ⁱ Chemotherapy (category 3) in clear cell and non-clear cell RCC with predominant sarcomatoid features has shown modest response to gemcitabine + doxorubicin or gemcitabine + capecitabine.

^k Partial responses have been observed to cytotoxic chemotherapy (carboplatin + gemcitabine or carboplatin + paclitaxel) with collecting duct or medullary subtypes.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.





ACS #7: Systemic Therapy for Non-clear Cell Histology

Chemotherapy should be considered as a treatment option of systemic therapy for nonclear cell RCC.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-4, MS-19, and MS-20]

Discussion:

In the NCCN Guidelines (Version 3.2015; MS-19), chemotherapy is stated as potential option in patients with limited subtypes of non-clear cell RCC such as collecting duct or medullary carcinoma. Affordability of each drug is different among Asian countries as stated in ACS statement 6a. Considering these facts and economic status of each Asian country, chemotherapy should be included as a treatment option for other types of non-clear cell RCC.

China: Particularly GC protocol for non-clear cell RCC is mentioned in CUA Guidelines. Korea: Only temsirolimus can be used for non-clear cell RCC under the national insurance system. **Taiwan:** Chemotherapy is a treatment option in addition to targeted therapy for non-clear cell RCC.

The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version ©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. guidelines, visit w quide.medlive.cn

KID-B

Kidney Cancer TOC Discussion

NCCN Guidelines Index

FOLLOW-UP^{a,b}

(category 2B)

ACS #9

ACS #8

ACS #8

Stage I (pT1a) Follow-up During Active Surveillance

National

Cancer

Network[®]

- H & P every 6 mo for 2 y, then annually up to 5 y after diagnosis
- Comprehensive metabolic panel and other tests as indicated every 6 mo for first 2 y, then annually up to 5 y after diagnosis
- Abdominal imaging:
- Abdominal CT or MRI within 6 mo of surveillance initiation, then CT, MRI or US at least annually
- Chest imaging:

NCCN

- Chest x-ray or CT annually to assess for pulmonary metastases, if biopsy positive for RCC
- Pelvic imaging, as clinically indicated
- CT or MRI of head or MRI of spine, as clinically indicated
- Bone scan, as clinically indicated

Follow-up After Ablative Techniques

- H & P every 6 mo for 2 y, then annually up to 5 y after diagnosis
- Comprehensive metabolic panel and other tests as indicated every 6 mo for first 2 y, then annually up to 5 y after diagnosis
- Abdominal imaging:
- Abdominal CT or MRI with and without contrast at 3-6 mo following ablative therapy unless otherwise contraindicated then CT, MRI or US, annually for 5 y
- Chest imaging:
- Chest x-ray or CT annually for 5 y for patients who have biopsy proven low risk RCC, nondiagnostic biopsies or no prior biopsy
- Repeat biopsy:
- New enhancement, a progressive increase in size of an ablated neoplasm with or without contrast enhancement, new nodularity in or around the treated zone, failure of the treated lesion to regress over time, satellite or port site lesions
- Pelvic imaging, as clinically indicated
- CT or MRI of head or MRI of spine, as clinically indicated
- Bone scan, as clinically indicated

^a Donat SM, Diaz M, Bishoff JT, et al. Follow-up for clinically localized renal neoplasms: AUA Guideline. J Urol 2013;190:407-416.

^b No single follow-up plan is appropriate for all patients. Follow-up frequency and duration should be individualized based on patient requirements, and may be extended beyond 5 years at the discretion of the physician. Further study is required to define optimal follow up duration.

27

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.





Continued on next page

ACS #9

KID-B 2 of 4

Kidney Cancer

Stage I (pT1a) and (pT1b)

Follow-up After a Partial or Radical Nephrectomy

- H & P every 6 mo for 2 y, then annually up to 5 y after nephrectomy
- Comprehensive metabolic panel and other tests as indicated every 6 mo for 2 y, then annually up to 5 y after nephrectomy

NCCN Guidelines Version 3.2015

- Abdominal imaging:
- ► After Partial Nephrectomy:
 - ◊ Baseline abdominal CT, MRI, or US within 3-12 mo of surgery
 - ◊ If the initial postoperative scan is negative, abdominal CT, MRI, or US may be considered annually for 3 y based on individual risk factors
- ► After Radical Nephrectomy:
 - ◊ Patients should undergo abdominal CT, MRI or US within 3-12 mo of surgery
 - ◊ If the initial postoperative imaging is negative, abdominal imaging beyond 12 mo may be performed at the discretion of the physician
- Chest imaging: Chest x-ray or CT annually for 3 y, then as clinically indicated
- Pelvic imaging, as clinically indicated
- CT or MRI of head or MRI of spine, as clinically indicated
- Bone scan, as clinically indicated

Continued on next page

^a Donat SM, Diaz M, Bishoff JT, et al. Follow-up for clinically localized renal neoplasms: AUA Guideline. J Urol 2013;190:407-416.

^b No single follow-up plan is appropriate for all patients. Follow-up frequency and duration should be individualized based on patient requirements, and may be extended beyond 5 years at the discretion of the physician. Further study is required to define optimal follow up duration.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Index **Kidney Cancer TOC** Discussion



ACS #8

Network[®]

National

^a Donat SM, Diaz M, Bishoff JT, et al. Follow-up for clinically localized renal neoplasms: AUA Guideline. J Urol 2013;190:407-416.

^b No single follow-up plan is appropriate for all patients. Follow-up frequency and duration should be individualized based on patient requirements, and may be extended beyond 5 years at the discretion of the physician. Further study is required to define optimal follow up duration.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

(category 2B)

Stage II or III

NCCN

Follow-up After a Radical Nephrectomy

National

Cancer

Network[®]

Comprehensive

- **ACS #8** • H & P every 3-6 mo for 3 y, then annually up to 5 y after radical nephrectomy and then as clinically indicated thereafter
- Comprehensive metabolic panel and other tests as indicated every 6 mo for 2 y, then annually up to 5 y, after radical nephrectomy, then as clinically indicated thereafter
- Abdominal imaging:
- ▶ Baseline abdominal CT or MRI within 3-6 mo, then CT, MRI or US (US is category 2B for Stage III), every 3-6 mo for at least 3 y and then annually up to 5 y
- ▶ Imaging beyond 5 y: as clinically indicated
- Site specific imaging: as symptoms warrant
- Chest imaging:
- Baseline chest CT within 3-6 mo after radical nephrectomy with continued imaging (CT or chest x-ray) every 3-6 mo for at least 3 y and then annually up to 5 y
- Imaging beyond 5 y: as clinically indicated based on individual patient characteristics and tumor risk factors
- Pelvic imaging, as clinically indicated
- CT or MRI of head or MRI of spine, as clinically indicated
- Bone scan, as clinically indicated

Continued on next page





NCCN Guidelines Index **Kidney Cancer TOC**

FOLLOW-UP^c

(category 2B)

Follow-up for Relapsed or Stage IV and Surgically Unresectable Disease

- H & P every 6-16 weeks for patients receiving systemic therapy, or more frequently as clinically indicated and adjusted for type of systemic therapy patient is receiving
- Laboratory evaluation as per requirements for therapeutic agent being used
- Chest, abdominal and pelvic imaging:

National

Cancer

Network[®]

NCCN

- CT or MRI imaging to assess baseline pretreatment or prior to observation
- > Follow up imaging every 6-16 weeks as per physician discretion and per patient clinical status. Imaging interval to be adjusted upward and downward according to rate of disease change and sites of active disease.
- Consider CT or MRI of head at baseline and as clinically indicated. Annual surveillance scans at physician discretion.
- MRI of spine as clinically indicated.
- Bone scan as clinically indicated.

^c No single follow-up plan is appropriate for all patients. Follow-up should be individualized based on treatment schedules, side effects, comorbidities, and symptoms.

.			



Discussion

ACS #9



ACS #8: Duration of Follow-up

The duration of follow-up in NCCN Guidelines (Version 3.2015) is generally acceptable, although long-term follow-up should also be considered.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-B]

Discussion:

Monitoring the growth of the tumor is required for 5 years after diagnosis or surgery. Depending on the growth of tumor during 5 years of monitoring, further annual follow-up can be considered. Five years would be minimum duration for the follow-up of patients who receive active surveillance. Additionally, some recurrent or metastatic cases have been reported 10 or 15 years after surgery. Therefore long term follow-up is recommended.

The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of ©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. guidelines, visit w 31 quide.medlive.cn



ACS #9: Imaging Modality for Follow-up

In Asia, CT is mainly performed for staging and follow-up, and MRI can be an option.

[Cross ref: Guidelines page KID-B]

Discussion:

CT is mainly performed for follow-up purposes in most Asian countries because of clinical or economic reasons. Although MRI is not frequently used for follow-up in Asia, it is used in some countries because of their cost-effectiveness or for patients with renal impairment. Depending on the country, ultrasound (US) is preferred because it is cost-effective. Imaging modalities common in the initial workup and follow-up in Asian countries are summarized (see appendix N).

China: MRI has been increasingly common as it is not very costly and is superior to CT.

India: MRI after partial or radical nephrectomy is uncommon; patients with any doubt or positive finding undergo CT.

Japan: MRI for follow-up purpose is very uncommon, because CT is superior to MRI in resolution.

South Korea: MRI for follow-up purpose is uncommon; however, after the CT or MRI, or clinical trials, there is methodology. In relapsed or stage IV and surgically unresectable cases, PET-CT is performed if there is something suspicious.

Singapore: Patients with renal impairment/CKD receive MRI.

Taiwan: MRI in the follow-up schedule is uncommon because of long waiting time (about 1 or 2 months). CT (and possibly US) is useful.

Thailand: MRI is performed for patients with renal impairment/CKD.





Appendices

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit ww SĦ



A) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries; Overall



*ASR(W): Age-standardized rate with world standard population

medlive cn

Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Elser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr, accessed on 1/7/2015.

guide.medlive.cn

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit w



B) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries; Male



*ASR(W): Age-standardized rate with world standard population

medlive cn

Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Elser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr, accessed on 1/7/2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit w 5用



C) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries; Female



*ASR(W): Age-standardized rate with world standard population

medlive cn

Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Elser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr, accessed on 1/7/2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit w 5用



D) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer, Top 20 in the World; Overall

Incidence 20 Mortality 16.7 16 ASR(W)* per 100,000 8 51 13.2 12.5 12.0 11.7 1<u>1.</u>1 10.9 11.1 10.6 10.0 10.0 9.7 9.5 9.4 9.3 9.3 9.1 8.9 8.8 8.8 4.9 4.8 4.7 4.4 4.2 4.1 3.9 3.9 3.8 4.0 3.7 3.3 3.3 3.2 4 da Norway Hungary celand Leand Hetherlands 2.8 2.6 2.5 2.5 2.1 1.8 Latvia ' Germany ' ClecthRepublic Inetropolitan Australia Croatia -IR UNUGURAN Canada Jit Jovania Slovakia States Estonia Belarus Slovenia *ASR(W): Age-standardized rate with world standard Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Elser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. population GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet].

Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr, accessed on 1/7/2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit w 5用





E) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer, Top 20 in the World; Male

Incidence 28 Mortality 24.1 24 20.5 **ASR(W)*** **per 100,000** 17.6 17.5 16.4 15.9 15.6 15.2 14.4 14.2 14.1 14.0 13.8 13.8 13.1 12.9 12.7 12.5 12.1 12.1 8.5 7.4 7.2 7.1 6.8 6.5 6.3 6.0 6.1 6.1 5.4 4.5 4.7 4.6 3.8 3.9 3.8 3.0 4 2.6 .6 Inetropolitan Littuaria Slovenia Crech Republic . Germany Istael Health Uruguay Jruguay croatia Australia Norway Luxenbourg 18 Estonia Jovakia Latvia States Belarus

*ASR(W): Age-standardized rate with world standard Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Elser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. population GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr, accessed on 1/7/2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit w 5用 38





F) Estimated Incidence and Mortality Rates of Kidney Cancer, Top 20 in the World; Female

Incidence 12₁ Mortality 10.5 10 8.7 8.5 ASR(W)* per 100,000 8.2 7.9 7.6 7.5 7.4 7.0 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.4 6.3 6.2 6.2 6.0 5.9 5.8 6 3.0 3.0 2.8 2.5 2.4 2.5 2.3 2.3 2.2 2.2 2.0 2.0 1.8 1.8 1.6 2 1.6 .4 1.4 1.2 Isian Federation Crech Republic Stored States Lithuania Belarus Estonia Cermany Norway Francean Slovenia Latvia ur Canada Croatia finland Australia Heland Helands Poland *ASR(W): Age-standardized rate with world standard

GLOBOCAN 2012 (IARC)

Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Elser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. population GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr, accessed on 1/7/2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit w 5用





G) Life Expectancy and Incidence/Mortality Rates of Patients with Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries

	Life Expectancy	Incidence / Mortality Rate*
China	72.38 (male) & 77.37 (female) [2010, National Bureau of Statistics]	5.75 / 1.89 [2012, Chinese Cancer Registry Annual Report] [4.5/1.45 in 2009]
Hong Kong	81.1 (male) & 86.7 (female) [2013]	4.4 (male 6.5 , female 2.4) / 1.6 (male 2.1 , female 0.7) [2012]
India	67 (male) & 69 (female) [2011, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare]	0.9 / 0.6 [GLOBOCAN 2012]
Indonesia	69.59 (male) & 74.88 (female) [2014]	1.5 / 1.2 [GLOBOCAN 2012]
Japan	80 (male) & 86 (female) [2010, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare]	7.3 / 1.9 [2011 / 2012] (C64-C66, C68: ICD-10)
Malaysia	_	_
Philippines	68.55 [2012, WHO]	Limited data from registries of urologic training institutions
Singapore	80.2 (male) & 84.6 (female) [Ministry of Health 2008-2013]	8.1 / 2.9 [National Disease Registry Office 2009-2013]
South Korea	78.51 (male) & 85.06 (female) [2013]	5.9 (male 8.7 , female 3.4) / 1.9 [2012 / 2013] [Ministry of Health & Welfare, Cancer Statistics 2012]
Taiwan	76.7 (male) & 83.3 (female) [2012]	3.4 (male 5.9 , female 3.0) / 1.6 (male 2.6 , female 1.9) [2011]
Thailand	70.7 (male) & 77.4 (female) [2014]	1.2 / 0.7 [2012]

* Incidence/Mortality rate is age-standardized rate (ASR) per 100,000.

Note: Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.

medlive cr

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN®. To view the most recent and complete version of guidelines, visit wy guide.medlive.cn



H) Criteria for Nephron-sparing Surgery in the Panel Members' Countries

	Criteria
China	T1 and T2 depending on technical feasibility, size of tumor, and location.
Hong Kong	T1 and T2 depending on size of tumor and location.
India	T1 and T2 depending on size of tumor and location.
Indonesia	T1a (\leq 4 cm), and selected cases of T1b (4-7 cm).
Japan	Partial nephrectomy is recommended for T1a and T1b tumors, and can be optional for T2 tumors. Indication of partial nephrectomy relies on both tumor size and tumor location.
Malaysia	_
Philippines	Tumor size <4 cm, sometimes greater (T1a and T1b) where technically feasible; the use of renal anatomic classi- fication systems (RENAL or PADUA scoring) to prognosticate difficulty of surgery is also employed.
Singapore	Tumor size <4 cm, technically feasible, anatomical or functional solitary kidneys, presence of CKD, tumor size <7 cm in selected exophytic lesions in high volume centers.
South Korea	There is no specific definition of a cut-off size, and nephron-sparing surgery may be performed whenever tech- nically feasible. However, we take caution for pT3a >4 cm, as it may have aggressive features.
Taiwan	Tumor size (<7 cm), T1a (majority), T1b (selected cases); >7 cm (in angiomyolipoma case and highly selected RCC, ex. Single kidney)
Thailand	T1a (≤4 cm), T1b (4-7 cm; selected cases).

Note: Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN*. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other





I) Active Surveillance (AS) in the Panel Members' Countries

	Status of AS	Biopsy Preceding AS
China	Only indicated in short life expectancy, with serious comorbidities and small RCC patients.	Not stringently required.
Hong Kong	Uncommon. RFA is considered in special cases.	Preferable to have biopsy before AS.
India	Uncommon. RFA is considered in special cases.	Uncommon.
Indonesia	AS is uncommon.	No biopsy in case of AS.
Japan	AS is not so common. It would be recommended for small renal mass (SRM) in elderly patients, or patients with comorbidity.	Renal mass biopsy preceding AS is uncommon. However, it is increasing slightly especially in patients with SRM whose diagnosis is difficult with imaging.
Malaysia	-	-
Philippines	Not a common option; employed only in patients with poor surgical risk factors and patients of very advanced age with small renal masses or solitary kidneys.	Low rate of needle biopsies preceding active surveillance.
Singapore	Option in patients with small renal masses <4 cm and significant comorbidities / poor surgical candidate.	Advised for biopsy preceding AS.
South Korea	No definite guidelines for AS for RCC. AS is seldom performed, and depends on physician's discretion.	If AS has been decided on, biopsy may be omitted for definite tumors. Biopsy may be performed for indefinite tumors. However, it depends on physician's preference.
Taiwan	In selected cases: small tumor, elderly patient, patient can not tolerate surgery because of major co- morbidity.	Percutaneous biopsy is suggested in all cases.
Thailand	AS is uncommon, and it depends on physician's decision.	No biopsy in AS.

Note: Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN*. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit www





medlive cr

J) Major Drugs for the Treatment of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries

	Approved	Application Filed
China	IFN-α, IL-2, sorafenib, sunitinib, everolimus. ^{6,7}	Temsirolimus, axitinib.
Hong Kong	Sunitinib, pazopanib, bevacizumab + IFN, temsirolimus, everolimus, axitinib, sorafenib.	-
India	IFN-α, IL-2, sorafenib, sunitinib, temsirolimus, pazopanib, everolimus, axitinib, bevacizumab.	-
Indonesia	Sorafenib, sunitinib, pazopanib, temsirolimus.	-
Japan	IFN-α, IL-2, sorafenib, sunitinib, temsirolimus, pazopanib, everolimus, axitinib.	-
Malaysia	-	-
Philippines	IFN-α, sorafenib, sunitinib, temsirolimus, pazopanib, everolimus.	-
Singapore	Sunitinib, pazopanib, bevacizumab + IFN, temsirolimus, everolimus, axitinib, sorafenib, IL-2.	-
South Korea	IFN-α, IL-2, sorafenib, sunitinib, temsirolimus, pazopanib, everolimus, bevacizumab.	-
Taiwan	IFN- α , IL-2, sorafenib, sunitinib, temsirolimus, pazopanib, everolimus, axitinib.	-
Thailand	IFN- α , IL-2, sorafenib, sunitinib, temsirolimus, pazopanib, everolimus, axitinib.	-

Note: References are listed on ACS #6a. Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.



nedlive cr

K) Post Marketing All-case Surveillance in the Panel Members' Countries

	Post Marketing All-case Surveillance
China	Post marketing data of sorafenib, sunitinib and everolimus are consistent with the published.
Hong Kong	No all-case surveillance, but retrospective analysis of patients in post-targeted therapy era is ongoing.
India	Data not available.
Indonesia	Data not available.
Japan	Post marketing all-case surveillances on sorafenib, sunitinib and everolimus are to be published. ^{1,2}
Malaysia	_
Philippines	No published post-marketing surveillance data yet. Studies may be under way.
Singapore	Asian patients noted to have lower adverse effects with dose reduction of sunitinib.
South Korea	The consensus is that the clinical benefits of sunitinib, sorafenib, and everolimus outweigh safety issues. The toxicity profile of these drugs in Korean men is presented in appendix L. ^{3,4}
Taiwan	Incidence for hand-foot syndrome of sunitinib are higher than in western countries. ^{5,6}
Thailand	Data not available.

Note: References are listed on ACS #6a. Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.



L) Post Marketing All-case Surveillance in South Korea

	Sunitinib³ (n=74)	Everolimus⁴ (n=100)	Sorafenib
Hematologic (%)			
Anemia	85	80	
Leukopenia	55	-	
Neutropenia	60	16	
Thrombocytopenia	70	35	
Lymphocytopenia	-	28	
Non-hematologic (%)			
Hand-foot syndrome	47	-	
Hypertension	31	-	
Diarrhea	16	12	Data not vet available.
Asthenia	57	47	
Nausea	44	24	
Vomiting	-	б	
Stomatitis	50	44	
Rash	19	32	
Edema	-	7	
Abdominal pain	26	24	
Hemorrhage	26	14	
Pneumonitis	-	27	
Pneumonia	-	7	

Note: References are listed on ACS #6a. Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other





M) Availability and Prevalence of IL-2 for the Treatment of Kidney Cancer in the Panel Members' Countries

	Availability and Prevalence
China	IL-2 is available. 18 MIU / d, IH, 5 d / W 1 week, 9 MIU q12 hr, d1-2, 9 MIU QD, d 3-5 3 weeks.
Hong Kong	Available but not used.
India	Available but not used.
Indonesia	IL-2 is not commonly used.
Japan	Favorable results were obtained by treatment with IFN-α or low dose IL-2, or their combination, especially for patients with lung metastases. High dose IL-2 is not available in Japan.
Malaysia	_
Philippines	IL-2 is available, but not commonly used.
Singapore	IL-2 available. 600,000 IU over 15 min q8 hr up to 14 doses.
South Korea	Cytokine therapy (IL-2 or IL-2 + IFN- α or IL-2 + IFN- α + fluorouracil) is available and is reimbursed by the NHI. However, it is seldom used after the advent of TKIs.
Taiwan	Use IL-2 is uncommon, treatment is by medical oncologist only.
Thailand	IL-2 is not commonly used.

Note: Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit wv guide.medlive.cn





N) Imaging Modalities Used for Initial Workup and Follow-up in the Panel Members' Countries

	Initial Workup	Follow-up
China	CR or MRI is used. Bone scintigraphy is only indicated with bone syndrome, elevated alkaline phosphatase (ALP) level, T3 and higher stage or N1 patients.	Chest x-ray, abdominal US, and CT
Hong Kong	CT, MRI, PET	СТ
India	US and CT	US and CT
Indonesia	CT with contrast (most common) or MRI. Bone scin- tigraphy as indicated by symptoms or elevated ALP level. Chest CT if suspicious lesions present in chest x-ray.	CT or MRI
Japan	US is used for screening, but not for staging.	CT scan is common. Interval of CT scan depends on the pathologic stage. Bone scintigraphy is not routinely done, but used only for patients with symptoms.
Malaysia	-	-
Philippines	CT, MRI, PET	СТ
Singapore	CT thorax and abdomen	CT thorax and abdomen
South Korea	Abdominal-pelvic CT, chest imaging, and bone scan if clinically indicated. US or MRI may be considered if indefinite findings on CT.	Abdominal-pelvic CT, chest imaging, and bone scan if clinically indicated.
Taiwan	CT, bone scintigraphy, MRI for IVC thrombus	CT and sonography
Thailand	CT and MRI for whole abdomen	Abdominal US, CT, and chest x-ray

Note: Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit ww 47 guide.medlive.cn medlive cr



O) Health Insurance System in the Panel Members' Countries

	Health Insurance System
China	There is one health insurance system that covers citizens in the city and another health insurance system that covers citizens in the countryside. Private insurance is also widespread, especially in the urban area.
Hong Kong	Only non-mandatory private insurance.
India	Government and private insurance covering approximately 20% of the population.
Indonesia	Government health insurance covering 50% of the population (as of January 2015), and approximately 5% private insurance.
Japan	There is the health insurance system that covers all citizens. Private insurance is also widespread.
Malaysia	-
Philippines	Government health insurance covering less than 50% of the population, and coverage is limited. Many still pay out of pocket.
Singapore	Standard coverage by government mandated 3-tier health coverage with patient co-payment; Medisave, Medishield, Medifund. Additional private insurance is optional but increasingly widespread.
South Korea	South Korea has a National Health Insurance (NHI) system, which is compulsory and required by law. Every resi- dent in the country is eligible regardless of nationality or profession. The National Health Insurance Corporation (NHIC) is the only public insurance institution operated by the Ministry of Health and Welfare in South Korea. Additional private insurance is also widespread.
Taiwan	There is the government insurance system that covers all citizens. Private insurance is not very common and only used by some citizens.
Thailand	 Civil Servants' Medical Benefit Scheme (CSMBS) for government officers and their families (8.01%). Social Security System (SSS) for other workers in private sector and some of government officers (12.9%). Universal Coverage (UC) for the rest of the population (74.6%).

Note: Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit wv guide.medlive.cn





P) Clinical Practice Guidelines in the Panel Members' Countries

	Domestic Clinical Guidelines	Year of Publication / Revision	English Version
China	By the Chinese Urological Association and Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology.	Published in 2006. Revised in 2014.	-
Hong Kong	Guidelines in individual institutes.	-	-
India	No guidelines available.	-	-
Indonesia	By Indonesian Urological Association.	1st edition in 2012 .	-
Japan	By the Japanese Urological Association.	Published in 2009. Revised in 2011.	Yes
Malaysia	-	-	_
Philippines	Following NCCN and AUA recommendations.	-	-
Singapore	Yes	2012	Yes
South Korea	By the Korean Urological Oncology Society.	Published in 2003. Revised in 2012.	-
Taiwan	By Taiwan Urological Association. Available from each medical center.	Published in 2011. Revised in 2014. Will be revised every year.	Yes
Thailand	-	-	_

Note: Data has been collected from the panel members as of July 2015.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN*. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other





Q) Japanese Clinical Practice Guideline for Renal Cell Carcinoma



Translated from Japanese into English by Japanese ACS members.

Source: Japanese Urological Association eds. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Kidney Cancer 2011. Kanehara & Co.; 2011:p 6.

©2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The Asia Consensus Statements and the illustrations may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN[®]. To view the most recent and complete version of this or any other guidelines, visit www